

# Math 116b - Homework 6

Instructor: Andrés Eduardo Caicedo

Due: February 26, 2008 at 1:00 pm.

This Homework is due during lecture by Tuesday February 26 at 1:00 pm. Refer to the grading policy for additional requirements.

Using the description given in lecture of a (provable in PA) truth predicate for  $\Sigma_1$  statements, one can show the following result:

**Theorem 1.** *For all countable non-standard models  $M \models \text{PA}$  and for all  $a \in M$  there is a proper initial segment  $I$  of  $M$  such that  $a \in I$  and  $I$  is isomorphic to  $M$ ; moreover, one can choose the isomorphism  $f : I \rightarrow M$  such that  $f \upharpoonright [0, a]$  is the identity.*

You can assume this theorem in what follows. For a (significant) bonus problem, feel free to provide a proof.

The goal of this homework set is to present some features of the proof given in lecture of the Kanamori-McAloon independence result in a more general context. Begin by showing the following (trivial) observation: If all countable non-standard models of PA are models of  $\phi$ , then  $\text{PA} \vdash \phi$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $M \models \text{PA}$  be countable and non-standard. Let  $\chi \subseteq \mathcal{P}(M)$  be a collection of initial segments of  $M$ . (Neither  $\chi$  nor its elements need be definable in  $M$ .)

A  $\Sigma_1$  formula  $\varphi(x, y, z)$  is an *indicator* for  $\chi$  in  $M$  iff

1.  $\varphi$  defines the graph of a (total) function  $Y : M^2 \rightarrow M$ . In detail, this means that for some such  $Y$ , if

$$\Gamma_Y = \{(a, b, c) : a, b \in M \text{ and } c = Y(a, b)\},$$

then

$$\{(a, b, c) : M \models \varphi(a, b, c)\} = \Gamma_Y.$$

2. For each  $a, b \in M$  with  $a < b$ ,  $Y(a, b)$  is non-standard iff there is  $I \in \chi$  such that  $a \in I$  and  $b \notin I$ . In the terminology introduced in lecture,  $a \leq I < b$ .
3. For  $a, b, c, d \in M$  such that  $c \leq a \leq b \leq d$ ,  $Y(a, b) \leq Y(c, d)$ .

We say that  $\varphi$  is an indicator for the theory  $T$  in  $M$  iff  $\varphi$  is an indicator for  $\{I : I \text{ is an initial segment of } M \text{ and } I \models T\}$  in  $M$ . Finally, we say that  $\varphi$  is an indicator for the theory  $T$  in the theory  $T' \supseteq \text{PA}$  iff for all countable nonstandard  $M \models T'$ ,  $\varphi$  is an indicator for  $T$  in  $M$ .

Let  $T \supseteq \text{PA}$ . Let  $\varphi$  be an indicator for  $T$  in  $T$ , and write  $Y(x, y) = z$  for  $\varphi(x, y, z)$ .

1. Show that  $T \not\vdash \forall x, z \exists y > x (Y(x, y) \geq z)$ .
2. Show that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $T \vdash \forall x \exists y > x (Y(x, y) \geq \underline{n})$ . Conclude that if  $T$  is true (i.e., if  $\mathbb{N} \models T$ ), then the  $\Pi_2$  sentence  $\forall x, z \exists y > x (Y(x, y) \geq z)$  is neither provable nor refutable in  $T$ .
3. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $g_n(x) = \mu y (Y(x, y) \geq n)$ . Let  $f$  be any (total) recursive function that  $T$  proves is total. Show that there is an  $n$  such that  $T \vdash \forall x (f(x) < g_n(x))$ .
4. Show that the Kanamori-McAloon result that the version of Ramsey's theorem for regressive functions is not provable in  $\text{PA}$  can be stated as a particular case of this setting by describing the indicator for  $\text{PA}$  in  $\text{PA}$  used in the proof given in lecture.